



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

*Am*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,929	10/22/2001	David H. Quimby	2761.01US02	1029

24113 7590 06/15/2005

PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A.  
4800 IDS CENTER  
80 SOUTH 8TH STREET  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100

EXAMINER
----------

NGUYEN, CAO H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2173

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/014,929

Applicant(s)

QUIMBY, DAVID H.

Examiner

Cao (Kevin) Nguyen

Art Unit

2173

– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King et al. (US Patent No. 6,904,450) in view of Craig (US Patent No. 6,654,785 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 9 and 17 Craig discloses a customizable web site access system, comprising: a composer to create a presentation wherein said presentation comprises a list of a plurality of URLs, a desired sequence of display of said plurality of URLs, and a pre-set display duration for each of said plurality of URLs [..The synchronization application includes a code segment to direct each of the student applets to retrieve and display the presentation slides located at the URLs designated by the instructor and displayed via the Web browser. The display is synchronized in that the same presentation URL is displayed at the instructor workstation and each of the plurality of student workstations; see abstract]; and a performer to automatically display the created presentation in a slide show format according to said list, said desired sequence [..Each URL in the working list has assigned a listing duration level of permanence, which controls how long the URL will be kept or maintained present in the working list, as well as an indication of the circumstances under which it will be removed from the list. This level of listing duration permanence is visible to, and may be modified by, the system user.

In addition, each URL on the working list has an assigned level of activity duration permanence for controlling how long the URL will be kept active, and of the circumstances under which it will be deactivated; see col. 3, lines 6-65]. However, Craig fails to explicitly teach wherein each of said plurality of URLs comprises a slide within said created presentation and wherein each slide is automatically presented to a user absent human intervention for said pre-set display duration.

King discloses plurality of URLs comprises a slide within said created presentation and wherein each slide is automatically presented to a user absent human intervention for said pre-set display duration [slide show of information to the user which is continuously updated; see col. 7, lines 12-51]. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide each slide is automatically presented to a user absent human intervention for said pre-set display duration of King to the presentation slide over network of Craig in order to provide an automatically customized webpage on slideshow that display on web browser.

Regarding claim 2, Craig discloses wherein said performer to provide a user control panel (see col. 8, lines 52-64 and figure 2).

Regarding claim 3, Craig discloses, wherein said user control panel enables the pausing and stopping of said presentation (see col. 9, lines 1-9).

Regarding claim 4, Craig discloses wherein said user control panel enables a user change to said desired sequence or a user change to said display duration (see col. 9, lines 10-22).

Regarding claim 5, Craig discloses wherein said presentation comprises horizontal navigation, vertical navigation, or item navigation of web sites (see col. 10, lines 13-44).

Regarding claim 6, Craig discloses wherein said performer is automatically activated by entry into a web site through user-entry of a URL, by activation of a hyper-link, by activation of a hyper-link embedded in an e-mail, or by selection of one of a plurality of presentations from a gallery (see col. 13, lines 7-41).

Regarding claim 7, Craig discloses wherein said presentation is stored on a host server for access by web users (see col. 13, lines 43-67).

Regarding claim 8, Craig discloses wherein said presentation includes an audio overlay (see col. 1, lines 34-64).

As claims 10-16, and 18-24 are analyzed as previously discussed with respected to claims 1-8 above.

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (see PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cao (Kevin) Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-4053. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2173

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571)272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Cao (Kevin) Nguyen  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2173

06/10/05